STA347 Notes

Ian Zhang

July 29, 2024

Contents

1	Expectation					
	1.1	Average Operator	3			
	1.2	Definition of Expectation	3			
	1.3	Examples of Expectation	4			
	1.4	Moments	6			
	1.5	Sample Surveys	6			
	1.6	Least Squares Estimation	7			
2	Probability 7					
	2.1	Indicator Functions	7			
	2.2	Probabilities	9			
	2.3	Inequalities				
	2.4	Product Moment Matrices	11			
		2.4.1 Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality	12			
	2.5	Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion				
	2.6	Independence	12			
		2.6.1 Independence of Events	14			
	2.7	Generating Functions	14			
		2.7.1 Exponential Distribution	15			
		2.7.2 Gamma Distribution	15			
3	Conditioning 1					
	3.1	Conditional Expectation	16			
	3.2	Conditional Probability	19			
	3.3	Independence from a Conditional Perspective	20			

4	Continuous Random Variables and Their Transformations					
	4.1	Distrib	outions with a Density	21		
		4.1.1	Transformations	21		
	4.2 Conditional Densities					
	4.3 Order Statistics					
		4.3.1	Distribution of Order Statistics	23		
5	Basic Limit Theorems					
	5.1 Convergence in Probability		rgence in Probability	24		
		5.1.1	Weak Law of Large Numbers	25		
	5.2 Convergence in Distribution					
		5.2.1	Normal Random Variables	27		
		5.2.2	Central Limit Theorem	27		

1 Expectation

Let Ω be the sample space and $\omega \in \Omega$ be points in the sample space. A random variable is a function $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, so we consider $X(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$.

1.1 Average Operator

Consider a finite sample space Ω that consists of $n_i \omega_i$'s for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and let $n = n_1 + \ldots + n_k$. For any random variable X, define

$$A(X) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} X(\omega_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i X(\omega_i)$$

where $p_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$ so $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$, $p_i \ge 0$ (p_i represents the proportion of elements in Ω that are ω_i). The properties of A are

- 1. If $X \ge 0$, then $A(X) \ge 0$
- 2. If X, Y are random variables, then $A(c_1X + c_2Y) = c_1A(X) + c_2A(Y)$ where c_1, c_2 are constants
- 3. A(1) = 1

Proof. To show 1., suppose $X(\omega) \ge 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then since each $p_i \ge 0$, it follows that $p_i X(\omega_i) \ge 0$, thus $A(X) \ge 0$ by transitivity.

To show 2., by definition of A,

$$A(c_1X + c_2Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i [c_1X(\omega_i) + c_2Y(\omega_i)] = c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i X(\omega_i) + c_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i Y(\omega_i) = c_1 A(X) + c_2 A(Y)$$

To show 3., note that since $1(\omega) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then

$$A(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i = 1$$

by assumption of the p_i 's.

1.2 Definition of Expectation

An operator E is an expectation operator if it satisfies the following axioms:

- 1. If $A \ge 0$, then $E(X) \ge 0$
- 2. If X, Y are random variables, then $E(c_1X + c_2Y) = c_1E(X) + c_2E(Y)$ where c_1, c_2 are constants
- 3. E(1) = 1

- 4. For $X_1, X_2, \ldots \ge 0$, if $X_n \uparrow X$, then $E(X_n) \uparrow X$.
 - This properties does *not* imply that $X_i \to X \implies E(X_i) \to E(X)$; we must have $X_i \uparrow X$ to confidently assert any sort of convergence of expectation

Properties:

- (a) $E(c_1X_1 + \dots + c_nX_n) = c_1E(X_1) + \dots + c_nE(X_n)$
- (b) If $X \leq Y$, then $E(X) \leq E(Y)$
- (c) $|E(X)| \le E(|X|)$
- (d) (Fatou's Lemma) If $X_n(\omega) \ge 0$ and $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$, then $\liminf_n E(X_n) \ge E(X)$

Definition. Let $(a_i)_i$ be a sequence of real numbers and define the sequence $(b_i)_i$ where

$$b_i := \inf_{k \ge i} a_i$$

Then

$$\liminf_{i} a_i = \lim_{i \to \infty} b_i$$

Similarly,

$$\limsup_{i} a_i = -\liminf_{i} (-a_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \left(\sup_{k \ge i} a_i \right)$$

Proposition. A sequence $(a_i)_i$ converges to a iff

$$\liminf_{i} a_i = \limsup_{i} a_i = a$$

Theorem (Dominated Convergence). If $X_n(\omega) \to X(\omega)$ and $|X_n(\omega)| \le Y(\omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in \Omega$, and $E(Y) < \infty$, then $E(X_n) \to E(X)$.

• Y is called a dominator of X_n

1.3 Examples of Expectation

Theorem. The sample space Ω is discrete with elements $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k\}$ iff the expectation operator takes the form

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i X(\omega_i)$$

where $p_i \ge 0$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$.

• To show a sample space Ω is discrete, we can show that there exists a discrete subset of Ω with probability 1 (we can say this subset is essentially the entire sample space)

Proof. To show sufficiency, note that

$$X(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} I(\{\omega = \omega_i\}) X(\omega_i)$$

Take

$$E(X) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} I(\{\omega = \omega_i\})X(\omega_i)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} E(I(\{\omega = \omega_i\})X(\omega_i))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(\omega_i)X(\omega_i)$$

where we take $p_i = P(\omega_i)$. Setting X = 1, this shows $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$. To show necessity, take $X = I\{\omega = \omega_1\}$, thus $E(X) = P(\omega_1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = p_1$, so $P(\omega_1) = p_1$. Similarly, for all $i, p_i = P(\omega_i)$. Thus since the $\{\omega_i\}$ are discrete,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{\omega_i\}\right) = 1$$

$$\implies \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{\omega_i\} \text{ is essentially the entire sample space}$$

$$\implies \Omega \text{ is essentially a discrete space with realizations } \omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$$

Definition (Continuous Random Variables). Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$. A random variable X is continuous if there exists a continuous $f \ge 0$ with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \, dx = 1$$

such that

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\omega) f(\omega) \, d\omega$$

Suppose X = I(A) for some subset $A \subseteq \Omega$. Then

$$P(A) = \int_{A} f(\omega) \, d\omega$$

Note that the above equations are equivalent to

$$E[H(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(x)f(x) \, dx$$

and

$$P(X \in A) = \int_A f(x) \, dx$$

1.4 Moments

Definition. If X is a random variable, define its jth moment to be

$$\mu_j = E(X^j)$$

1.5 Sample Surveys

Set up N individuals $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N$ and select a sample

$$(\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n)$$

Let $Z_i = X(\xi_i)$ for all *i* and define

$$\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{n}(Z_1 + \dots + Z_n)$$

Denote $x_k = X(\omega_k)$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Since each Z_i has equal probability of taking on any x_k value, then

$$E(Z_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i =: \bar{X}$$

By linearity,

$$E(\bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i\right) = \bar{X}$$

By symmetry, it holds that

$$E(Z_i^2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$$

Thus

$$Var(Z_i) = E(Z_i^2) - \bar{X}^2 =: V(X)$$

Theorem. If sampling is without replacement, then

$$E(\bar{Z}) = \bar{X}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{N-n}{N-1} V(X)$$

If sampling is with replacement, then

$$E(\bar{Z}) = \bar{X}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{Z}) = \frac{1}{n}V(X)$$

1.6 Least Squares Estimation

Given a response variable X and predictor variables Y_1, \ldots, Y_m , we want to predict X using the information we have (Y_i) by minimizing

$$E[(X - a_0 - a_1Y_1 + \dots + a_mY_m)^2]$$

Represent the Y_i as a vector

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Define the covariance matrix of Y to be a symmetric matrix

$$\operatorname{Cov}(Y) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Var}(Y_1) & \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_m) \\ \operatorname{Cov}(Y_2, Y_1) & \operatorname{Var}(Y_2) & \cdots & \operatorname{Cov}(Y_2, Y_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, Y_m) & \operatorname{Cov}(Y_2, Y_m) & \cdots & \operatorname{Var}(Y_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

and the cross-covariance matrix of Y and X to be

$$\operatorname{Cov}(Y, X) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Cov}(Y_1, X) \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{Cov}(Y_m, X) \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem. The best linear predictor of X is

$$\hat{X} = a_0 + a_1 Y_1 + \dots + a_m Y_m$$

where $a^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_m \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Cov}(Y)a = \operatorname{Cov}(Y, X)$$

and

$$a_0 = E(X) - \sum_{j=1}^m a_j E(Y_j)$$

2 Probability

2.1 Indicator Functions

For simplicity, denote $I(A) = I_A(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. **Properties:**

1.
$$I(A^c) = 1 - I(A)$$

- 2. If $A \subseteq B$, then $I(A) \leq I(B)$
- 3. $I(A \cup B) = \max\{I(A), I(B)\}$
- 4. $I(A \cap B) = \min\{I(A), I(B)\}$

5. If
$$A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$$
, then $I(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sup_{i \ge 1} I(A_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} I(A_i)$

Proof. If

$$I(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \in A \\ 0 & \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

then

$$1 - I(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega \notin A \\ 0 & \omega \in A \end{cases} = I(A^c)$$

Suppose $A \subseteq B$. Consider the following 3 cases:

- 1. $\omega \in A \implies \omega \in B \implies I_A(\omega) = 1 = I_B(\omega)$ 2. $\omega \in B \setminus A \implies I_A(\omega) = 0 < 1 = I_B(\omega)$
- 3. $\omega \notin B \implies \omega \notin A \implies I_A(\omega) = 0 = I_B(\omega)$

which shows $I(A) \leq I(B)$.

Consider $A \cup B$ and the following 4 cases:

1.
$$\omega \in A \cup B \setminus A \implies I_{A \cup B} = 1 = \max\{0, 1\} = \max\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$$

2.
$$\omega \in A \cup B \setminus B \implies I_{A \cup B} = 1 = \max\{1, 0\} = \max\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$$

- 3. $\omega \in A \cap B \implies \omega \in A \cup B \implies I_{A \cup B}(\omega) = 1 = \max\{1, 1\} = \max\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$
- 4. $\omega \notin A \cup B \implies x \notin A, x \notin B \implies I_{A \cup B} = 0 = \max\{0, 0\} = \max\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$

Consider $A \cap B$ and the following cases:

1.
$$\omega \in A \cap B \implies I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 1 = \min\{1, 1\} = \min\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$$

- 2. $\omega \in A \setminus A \cap B \implies \omega \in A, \omega \notin B \implies \omega \notin A \cap B \implies I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0 = \min\{1, 0\} = \min\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$
- 3. $\omega \in B \setminus A \cap B \implies \omega \notin A, \omega \in B \implies \omega \notin A \cap B \implies I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0 = \min\{0,1\} = \min\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$

4.
$$\omega \notin A, \omega \notin B \implies \omega \notin A \cap B \implies I_{A \cap B}(\omega) = 0 = \min\{0, 0\} = \min\{I_A(\omega), I_B(\omega)\}$$

Suppose $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$. Consider the following cases:

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} I(A_i) = 1$$

Since $\omega \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $I(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 1$.

2. If $\omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \notin A_i$, thus $I_{A_i}(\omega) = 0$ for all i. This implies $\sup_{i>1} I_{A_i}(\omega) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} I_{A_i}(\omega) = 0$$

Since $\omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $I(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = 0$, which proves our claim.

2.2 Probabilities

Definition. Let $A \subseteq \Omega$. Let I_A be the indicator function on A. The probability of A is

$$P(A) = E(I_A)$$

Properties:

1. $0 \le P(A) \le I$

2.
$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$$
 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$

3. $P(\Omega) = 1$

4. If
$$A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \cdots$$
, then $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{i \to \infty} P(A_i)$

We prove these properties using the properties of indicator functions.

2.3 Inequalities

Proposition. Suppose X is a nonnegative random variable. Then for all a > 0, we have

$$I({X(\omega) > a}) \le \frac{X(\omega)}{a}$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof. Suppose for some ω that $X(\omega) > a$, thus

$$I(\{X(\omega) > a\}) = 1 < \frac{X(\omega)}{a}$$

Suppose for some ω that $X(\omega) \leq a$, since X is nonnegative and a is positive, then

$$\frac{X(\omega)}{a} \ge 0 = I(\{X(\omega) > a\})$$

as required.

From this identity, we can deduce Markov's Inequality:

Corollary (Markov's Inequality). For any nonnegative random variable X and a > 0,

$$P(X > a) \le \frac{E(X)}{a}$$

If we take X = |Y - E(Y)| for some random variable Y, then we have Chebyshev's Inequality:

Corollary (Chebyshev's Inequality). If Y is a random variable and a > 0,

$$P(|Y - E(Y)| > a) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}{a^2}$$

Proof. By definition of absolute value, $|Y - E(Y)| \ge 0$, thus by Markov's Inequality,

$$P(|Y - E(Y)| > a) = P((Y - E(Y))^2 > a^2) \le \frac{E[(Y - E(Y))^2]}{a^2} = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y)}{a^2}$$

Proposition. If $X \ge 0$, then $E(X) = \int_0^\infty P(X > t) dt$

Proof. Rewrite

$$X = \int_{0}^{X} 1 \, dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} I(t < X) \, dt$$

By the infinite sum nature of the Riemann integral,

$$E\left(\int_0^\infty I(t < X) \, dt\right) = \int_0^\infty E(I(t < X)) \, dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty P(t < X) \, dt$$

as required.

Theorem. If $X \ge 0$, then E(X) = 0 iff X = 0 almost surely (i.e., P(X = 0) = 1).

Proof. Suppose E(X) = 0. Define events $A_k = \left\{X > \frac{1}{k}\right\}$, which form an increasing sequence of events. As $k \to \infty$, $A_k \to \{X > 0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$. By property of probability, $P(A_k) \to P(X > 0)$. On the other hand, by Markov's Inequality, since X is nonnegative and $\frac{1}{k} > 0$,

$$0 \le P(A_k) = P\left(X > \frac{1}{k}\right) \le \frac{E(X)}{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$$

thus $P(A_k) = 0$ for all k. By uniqueess of the limit, $P(A_k) \to 0$ implies P(X > t) = 0, so P(X = 0) = 1, as required.

Suppose P(X = 0) = 1. This implies P(X > 0) = 0, thus

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty 0 \, dt = 0$$

as required.

Corollary. If X is a random variable, then Var(X) = 0 iff $X = \mu$ for almost surely where μ is constant.

Proof. Suppose Var(X) = 0. By definition,

$$E[(X - E(X))^2] = 0$$

which implies $(X - E(X))^2 = 0$ almost surely since $(X - E(X))^2 \ge 0$. This implies X = E(X) almost surely, and taking $\mu = E(X)$ proves sufficiency.

Suppose $X = \mu$ almost surely. Then $|X - \mu| = 0$ almost surely, thus $E(|X - \mu|) = 0$, which implies $E(X) = \mu$. This implies $|X - E(X)|^2 = 0$ almost surely, so $Var(X) = E[|X - E(X)|^2] = 0$.

2.4 Product Moment Matrices

Definition. If $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ is a random vector, then $U = E(XX^T)$ is the product moment matrix.

• By definition, if Y = X - E(X), then the product moment matrix of Y is the covariance matrix of X.

Theorem. A product moment matrix U is symmetric and positive semidefinite. It is singular iff $c^T X = 0$ almost surely for some constant vector c.

Proof. Since XX^T is symmetric, then $E(XX^T)$ is also symmetric. For any vector a,

$$a^T U a = a^T E(XX^T) a = E(a^T XX^T a) = E[(a^T X)^2] \ge 0$$

since $(a^T X)^2 \ge 0$, thus U is positive semidefinite by definition. To show the rest of the claim,

 $U \text{ is singular } \iff \det(U) = 0$ $\iff 0 \text{ is an eigenvalue of } U \qquad \text{det is the product of eigenvalues}$ $\iff c^T U c = 0$ $\iff E(c^T X X^T c) = 0$

$$\iff E[(c^T X)^2] = 0$$

$$\iff c^T X = 0 \quad a.s. \qquad E[(c^T X)^2] = 0 \text{ implies } (c^T X)^2 = 0 \ a.s.$$

2.4.1 Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

If X_1, X_2 are random variables, then

$$[E(X_1X_2)]^2 \le (E(X_1^2))(E(X_2^2))$$

with equality holding iff $c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 = 0$ almost surely for some constants c_1, c_2 satisfying $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Consider the random vector $X^T = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}$ and its product moment matrix

$$U = E\left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1\\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} E(X_1^2) & E(X_1X_2)\\ E(X_1X_2) & E(X_2^2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since U is positive semidefinite, $\det(U) \ge 0$, thus $E(X_1^2)E(X_2^2) - (E(X_1X_2))^2 \ge 0$, which shows the inequality.

Note that equality holds iff U is singular iff there exists c_1, c_2 such that $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$ and $c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 = 0$ almost surely.

2.5 Principle of Inclusion-Exclusion

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_{i}) + \sum_{i_{1} < i_{2}} P(A_{i_{1}} \cap A_{i_{2}}) + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} \sum_{i_{1} < i_{2} < \dots < i_{r}} P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{r} A_{i_{j}}\right) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right)$$

2.6 Independence

Suppose we have a spatial region with M cells and N molecules. Let ξ_i be the position of the *i*th molecule. There are M^N elements in the sample space of possible positions for the N molecules. Suppose that all the molecules are distributed uniformly and define

$$E[X(\omega)] = \frac{1}{M^N} \sum_{a_1=1}^M \cdots \sum_{a_N=1}^M X(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$
(1)

where $\omega^T = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_N \end{bmatrix}$ is a possible positioning in the sample space.

Theorem. (1) implies that the ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_N are uniformly distributed over $\{1, \ldots, M\}$ and

$$E\left[\prod_{k=1}^{N} H_k(\xi_k)\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{N} E[H_k(\xi_k)]$$

for all $H_k, k \in \{1, ..., N\}$.

Proof. Let $X = I(\xi_i = k)$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ and $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$. Since $\xi_i(\omega) = \omega_i$, then $X = I(\omega_i = k) = k$ where $\omega^T = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & \cdots & \omega_N \end{bmatrix}$ By (1),

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{M^N} \sum_{a_1=1}^M \cdots \sum_{a_N=1}^M X(a_1, \dots, a_N)$$

Since $X(\omega) = 0$ unless $\omega_i = k$ and there are M^{n-1} possible $\omega \in \Omega$ such $\omega_i = k$, then

$$P(w_i = k) = \frac{M^{N-1}}{M^N} = \frac{1}{M}$$

which shows that the ξ_i are uniformly distributed. To show the rest of the claim, notice

$$E\left[\prod_{k=1}^{N} H_k(\xi_k)\right] = \frac{1}{M^N} \sum_{a_1=1}^{M} \cdots \sum_{a_N=1}^{M} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{N} H_k(a_k)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{M^N} \left(\sum_{a_1=1}^{M} H_1(a_1)\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{a_N=1}^{M} H_N(a_N)\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{M^N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a_k=1}^{M} H_k(a_k)$$

but since the molecules are uniformly distributed, then

$$E[H_k(\xi_k)] = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} H_k(\xi_i)$$

thus

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} E[H_k(\xi_k)] = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} H_k(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{M^N} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{a_k=1}^{M} H_k(a_k)$$

as required.

Definition. Random variables X_1, \ldots, X_p are independent if

$$E\left[\prod_{i=1}^{p} H_i(X_i)\right] = \prod_{i=1}^{p} E[H_i(x_i)]$$

for all functions H_1, \ldots, H_p .

Proposition. X_1, \ldots, X_p are independent iff $P(X_1 \in A_1, \ldots, X_p \in A_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p P(X_i \in A_i)$ for all $A_i \subseteq \Omega$ and $i = 1, \ldots, p$. **Proposition.** Define cdf $F(x_1, \ldots, x_p)$ as the joint cdf of X_1, \ldots, X_p . Then X_1, \ldots, X_p are independent iff

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \prod_{i=1}^p F(x_i)$$
 (2)

<u>Note:</u> pmf/pdf's are only defined for certain classes of random variables but cdfs are defined for all.

Corollary. If X_1 and X_2 are discrete and take integer values, then $X_1 \perp \!\!\!\perp X_2$ iff $P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2) = P(X_1 = x_1)P(X_2 = x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.6.1 Independence of Events

Definition. Events A_1, \ldots are independent if the indicator random variables $I(A_1), \ldots$ are independent.

Proposition. A_1, A_2, \ldots are independent iff

$$P(A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k}) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(A_{i_j})$$

Note: Pairwise independence does not imply joint independence.

2.7 Generating Functions

Definition. If X is a random variable, define its probability generating function as

$$\Pi(z) = E(z^X), z > 0$$

and its moment generating function as

$$M_X(z) = E(e^{zX}), z \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem. If X and Y are independent, then

$$\Pi_{X+Y}(z) = \Pi_X(z)\Pi_Y(z)$$
$$M_{X+Y}(z) = M_X(z)M_Y(z)$$

Proof. Follows by definition of independence.

Theorem. If X and Y are random variables and

$$\Pi_X(z) = \Pi_Y(z) < \infty \quad \forall z \in [1 - \delta, 1 + \delta] \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

or

$$M_X(z) = M_Y(z) < \infty \quad \forall z \in [-\delta, \delta] \text{ for some } \delta > 0$$

then X and Y are identically distributed.

Theorem. If $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$ and $X \perp Y$, then

$$X + Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda + \mu)$$

Proof. By computation, the mgf of $Poisson(\alpha)$ is

$$M(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(X=i)e^{zi} = \exp(-\alpha)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha \exp(z))^i}{i!} = \exp(\alpha(\exp(z) - 1))$$

Since X and Y are independent, then

$$M_{X+Y}(z) = M_X(z)M_Y(z)$$

= exp($\lambda(\exp(z) - 1)$) exp($\mu(\exp(z) - 1)$)
= exp(($\lambda + \mu$)(exp(z) - 1))

which is the mgf of a Poisson $(\lambda + \mu)$ distribution.

Theorem. If $M_X(z) < \infty$ for $z \in [-\delta, \delta]$ for some $\delta > 0$, then

$$E(X^k) = M_X^{(k)}(0)$$

2.7.1 Exponential Distribution

Definition. A random variable X is Exponential with parameter λ if its cdf is

$$F(x) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda x), x \ge 0$$

2.7.2 Gamma Distribution

The Gamma function is given by

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha - 1} e^{-x} \, dx$$

for $\alpha > 0$. Its properties include

- 1. $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \Gamma(\alpha)$
- 2. $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

3.
$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$$

Definition. A random variable X has Gamma distribution with parameters α and λ if it has density

$$f_X(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & t > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that by definition, $\operatorname{Gamma}(1, \lambda) = \operatorname{Exponential}(\lambda)$. If $X \sim \operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$,

$$M_X(z) = E(e^{zX})$$

= $\int_0^\infty e^{zt} \frac{\lambda^\alpha t^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} dt$
= $\frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda-z)t} dt$
= $\frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{y}{\lambda-z}\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-y} \frac{1}{\lambda-z} dy$ $y = (\lambda-z)t$

Assume $\lambda - z > 0$, so $z < \lambda$.

$$= \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\lambda - z)^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\alpha - 1} e^{-y} dy \qquad \qquad y = (\lambda - z)t$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(\lambda - z)^{\alpha}} \Gamma(\alpha)$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^{-\alpha} (z < \lambda)$$

Then

$$E(X) = M'_X(0) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$$
$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = M''_X(0) - (M'_X(0))^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$$

Proposition. If $X_1, \ldots, X_k \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, then $X_1 + \ldots + X_k \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \lambda)$.

Proof. By the theorem above,

$$M_{X_1+\dots X_k}(z) = \prod_{i=1}^k M_{X_i}(z) = (M_{X_1}(z))^k = \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^{-k}$$

which is the mgf of a $\text{Gamma}(k, \lambda)$ random variable.

3 Conditioning

3.1 Conditional Expectation

Definition. The conditional expectation of a random variable X given an event A is

$$E(X \mid A) = \frac{E(XI(A))}{P(A)}$$

so long as P(A) > 0.

Theorem. $E(X \mid A)$ satisfies the axioms of expectation:

- 1. $E(1 \mid A) = 1$
- 2. $E(c_1X_1 + c_2X_2 \mid A) = c_1E(X_1 \mid A) + c_2E(X_2 \mid A)$
- 3. If $X \ge 0$, then $E(X \mid A) \ge 0$
- 4. If $X_n \uparrow X$, then $E(X_n \mid A) \uparrow E(X \mid A)$

Theorem (Law of Total Expectation). If A_i are disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \Omega$, then

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) E(X \mid A_i)$$

Proof. By definition of conditional expectation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) E(X \mid A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(A_i) \frac{E(XI(A))}{P(A_i)}$$
$$= E\left(X \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(A_i)\right)$$
$$= E(X) \qquad \text{since } \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(A_i) = 1$$

Suppose X and Y are random variables and suppose Y is discrete. We can then define E(X | Y = y) for all values y that Y takes. Generally, if Y takes on values y_1, \ldots, y_n , then we can calculate $E(X | Y = y_i) = \mu_i$. Define a random variable $Z = \mu_i$ with probability $P(Y = y_i)$. Then Z is the conditional expectation of X given Y.

- $Z = E(X \mid Y) = H(Y)$ where $H(y_i) = \mu_i$
- This means $E(X \mid Y)$ is a random variable and reflects the variability of X among different values of Y

In general, for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(Y \in A) > 0$, $E(X \mid Y \in A)$ is well-defined. On the other hand, $E(X \mid Y)$ is a function G(Y), so it must hold that

$$E(X \mid Y \in A) = E[G(Y) \mid A]$$

which implies

$$E[XI(Y \in A)] = E[G(Y)I(Y \in A)] \iff E[(X - G(Y))I(Y \in A)] = 0$$

by linearity. Since any function H(Y) can be approximated by indicator functions, then

$$E((X - G(Y))H(Y)) = 0$$

This leads us to define conditional expectation over random variables as the following:

Definition. Let X and Y be random variables. The expectation of X conditional on Y, denoted $E(X \mid Y)$ is any solution G(Y) satisfying

$$E[(X - G(Y))H(Y)] = 0$$
(3)

for all functions H.

Theorem. The following hold:

- (i) The definition is consistent with the definition in the discrete case.
- (ii) If $E(X) < \infty$, then $E(X \mid Y)$ minimizes $D = E[(X \varphi(Y))^2]$
- (iii) Uniqueness: If $E(X^2) < \infty$, the solutions to (3) are almost surely equal

- i.e.: If $G_1(Y)$ and $G_2(Y)$ are solutions, then $G_1(Y) = G_2(Y)$ almost surely

Proof. (i) Recall that if Y is discrete and takes on values y_1, \ldots, y_k , then $G^D(y_i) = E(X | Y = y_i)$. We want to show $G^D(Y)$ is a solution to (3). If Y is discrete, then for any function H, we have

$$H(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y = y_i)H(y_i)$$

thus it suffices to show for $H(Y) = I(Y = y_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. For all i, we want

$$E[XI(Y = y_i)] = E[G^D(Y)I(Y = y_i)]$$

Indeed, by definition of expectation conditional on the event $\{Y = y_i\}$,

$$E[G^{D}(Y)I(Y = y_{i})] = G^{D}(Y)E[I(Y = y_{i})]$$
$$= E[X \mid Y = y_{i}]P(Y = y_{i})$$
$$= E[XI(Y = y_{i})]$$

as required.

(ii) Let $G(Y) = E(X \mid Y)$ and define $\varphi^*(Y) = G(Y) - \varphi(Y)$. Then

$$D = E[(X - G(Y) + G(Y) - \varphi(Y))^{2}]$$

= $E[(X - G(Y))^{2}] + 2E[(X - G(Y))\varphi^{*}(Y)] + E[(\varphi^{*}(Y))^{2}]$
= $E[(X - G(Y))^{2}] + E[(\varphi^{*}(Y))^{2}]$ (4)
 $\geq E[(X - G(Y))^{2}]$

as required.

(iii) Let $G_1(Y)$ and $G_2(Y)$ be solutions. Let $G_1(Y)$ be $\varphi(Y)$ in (4), so

$$E[(X - G_1(Y))^2] = E[(X - G_2(Y))^2] + E[(G_1(Y) - G_2(Y))^2]$$
(5)

Similarly,

$$E[(X - G_2(Y))^2] = E[(X - G_1(Y))^2] + E[(G_2(Y) - G_1(Y))^2]$$
(6)

Equations (5) and (6) imply that

$$E[(G_1(Y) - G_2(Y))^2] = 0$$

which implies $G_1(Y) - G_2(Y) = 0$ almost surely, as required.

Theorem. $E(X \mid Y)$ satisfies the axioms of expectation in an almost surely fashion:

- 1. If $X \ge 0$, then $E(X \mid Y) \ge 0$ almost surely
- 2. $E(1 \mid Y) = 1$ almost surely
- 3. $E(a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 | Y) = a_1E(X_1 | Y) + a_2E(X_2 | Y)$ almost surely for all a_1, a_2
- 4. If $X_i \uparrow X$, then $E(X_i \mid Y) \uparrow E(X \mid Y)$ almost surely

Properties of conditionals:

- 1. $E[L(Y)X \mid Y] = L(Y)E(X \mid Y)$ almost surely
- 2. <u>Tower Law:</u> $E[E(X | Y_1, Y_2) | Y_2] = E(X | Y_1)$
 - This implies $E[E(X \mid Y)] = E(X)$
- 3. If $E(X \mid Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ is a function $\psi(Y_1)$ of only Y_1 , then

$$\psi(Y_1) = E(X \mid Y_1) = E(X \mid Y_1, Y_2)$$

4. Conditional decomposition of variance: Define $\operatorname{Var}(X \mid Y) = E(X^2 \mid Y) - [E(X \mid Y)]^2$. Then

 $\operatorname{Var}(X) = E[\operatorname{Var}(X \mid Y)] + \operatorname{Var}[E(X \mid Y)]$

3.2 Conditional Probability

Theorem. $P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$

Proof.

$$P(A \mid B) = E[I(A) \mid B] = \frac{E[I(A)I(B)]}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

since $I(A)I(B) = I(A \cap B)$.

Properties:

- 1. $P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)}P(B \mid A)$
- 2. Law of Total Probability:

$$P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B_i) P(A \mid B_i)$$

where B_i are disjoint events with $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$

3. Let B_i 's be defined as above. Then

$$P(B_i \mid A) = \frac{P(A \mid B_i)P(B_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n P(A \mid B_j)P(B_j)}$$

Proof. 1.

$$P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} \frac{P(A)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(B)} P(B \mid A)$$

2. Follows from property of conditional expectation on the random variable I(A).

3. Follows immediately from 1. and 2..

3.3 Independence from a Conditional Perspective

Theorem. Two random variables X and Y are independent if, and only if, E[H(X) | Y] = E[H(X)] almost surely for any H such that $E[H^2(X)] < \infty$.

Proof. To show sufficiency, by definition,

$$E[(X - E(X \mid Y))F(Y)] = 0$$

for all functions F so we only have to show

$$E[(H(X) - E[H(X)])F(Y)] = 0$$

holds. By independence,

$$E[(H(X) - E[H(X)])F(Y)] = E[H(X) - E[H(X)]]E[F(Y)] = 0$$

thus E[H(X)] = E[H(X) | Y] almost surely. To show necessity, for all functions G(Y),

$$E[H(X) \mid Y]G(Y) = E[H(X)G(Y) \mid Y] \quad a.s.$$

thus we have

$$E[H(X)]G(Y) = E[H(X)G(Y) \mid Y] \quad a.s.$$

Taking expectation of both sides,

$$E[H(X)]E[G(Y)] = E[H(X)G(Y)]$$

which shows X and Y are independent by definition.

4 Continuous Random Variables and Their Transformations

4.1 Distributions with a Density

Definition. If $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ is a random vector, then X is a continuous random vector if there exists a function $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that $f \ge 0$ and

$$E[H(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(x)f(x) \, dx$$

- Note that by axiom of expectation this implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = 1$
- f is called the density function of X

Corollary. The following properties of density functions hold:

- 1. $P(X \in A) = \int_A f(x) dx$
- 2. Define the cdf of X as $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = P(X_1 \le x_1, \ldots, X_n \le x_n)$. Then

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{\partial F(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{\partial x_1\cdots\partial x_n}$$

3. For $r \leq n$, the density of $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_n \end{bmatrix}$ is given by

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_r) = \int \cdots \int f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \, dx_{r+1} dx_{r+2} \cdots dx_{n-1} dx_n$$

Theorem. If $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_n \end{bmatrix}$ is continuous with pdf $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, then then X_i are independent iff

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f_1(x_1)\cdots f_n(x_n)$$

where $f_i(x_i)$ is the density of X_i .

4.1.1 Transformations

Suppose X and Y are random vectors with dimension m and r respectively with $r \leq qm$ and Y = a(X). Suppose Y can be complemented by a transformation Z = b(X) of dimension m - r such that

$$X \to (Y, Z)$$

is an injective transformation and invertible with Jacobian

$$J(Y,Z) = \left| \det \left[\frac{\partial X}{\partial Y \partial Z} \right] \right|$$

Then the joint density of (Y, Z) is

Consequently, the density of Y is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m-r}} f(x(y,z)) J(y,z) \, dz$$

Proof. Note that

$$P(X \in A) = \int_A f(x) \, dx$$

Performing a change of variable by letting (Y, Z) = (a(X), b(X)), we have

$$P\left(\begin{bmatrix}Y\\Z\end{bmatrix}\in c(A)\right) = \int_{c(A)} f(x(y,z))J(y,z)\,dydz$$

where c(X) = (a(X), b(X)) = (Y, Z). This implies that the density of (Y, Z) is

as required.

• Note that if we have $\begin{bmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = AX$ where A is some invertible matrix, then $J(y, z) = \left| \frac{1}{\det(A)} \right|$

4.2 Conditional Densities

Theorem. Suppose X and Y are continuous random vectors with joint density f(x, y). The distribution of X conditional on Y has density

$$f(x \mid y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \tag{7}$$

where $f_Y(y) = \int f(x, y) \, dy$ is the density of Y.

Proposition. The definition of the conditional density is consistent with the definition of conditional expectation.

Proof. By (7), we have

$$E[H(X) \mid Y] = \int H(x)f(x \mid y) \, dx$$

Page 22

By definition, E[H(X) | Y] should satisfy

$$E[H(X)G(Y)] = E[E[H(X) \mid Y]G(Y)]$$

for all functions G. Since E[H(X) | Y] is a function of Y, then

$$E[E[H(X) | Y]G(Y)] = \int E[H(X) | Y = y]G(Y)f_Y(y) dy$$
$$= \int \left[\int H(x)f(x | y dx) \right] G(y)f_Y(y) dy$$
$$= \iint H(x)f(x | y)f_Y(y)G(y) dxdy$$
$$= \iint H(x)f(x,y)G(y) dxdy$$

On the other hand,

$$E[H(X)G(Y)] = \iint H(x)G(y)f(x,y)\,dxdy$$

which shows equality, as desired.

4.3 Order Statistics

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid random variables with pdf f(x) and cdf F(x). We can order the X_i

$$X_{(1)} \le X_{(2)} \le \dots \le X_{(n)}$$

where $X_{(1)} = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ and $X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}.$

4.3.1 Distribution of Order Statistics

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X_{(n)} \le x) = P(X_1 \le x, X_2 \le x, \dots, X_n \le x)$$

=
$$\prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x)$$
 by independence = $[F(x)]^n$

so the density function of $X_{(n)}$ is $n[F(x)]^{n-1}f(x)$. On the other hand,

$$P(X_{(1)} \le x) = 1 - P(X_1 > x, \dots, X_n > x)$$
$$= 1 - [1 - F(x)]^n$$

thus the density function of $X_{(1)}$ is $n[1 - F(x)]^{n-1}f(x)$. For any $X_{(i)}$, consider

$$\frac{P(x \le X_{(i)} \le x + dx)}{dx}$$

where dx is very small. By definition of the order statistics, $P(x \le X_{(i)} \le x + dx)$ is the same as the probability that i - 1 X_j 's must be $\le x$, one of them is between x and dx, and the rest are greater than x + dx, which shows

$$P(x \le X_{(i)} \le x + dx) = \binom{n}{i-1} [F(x)]^{i-1} \binom{n-i+1}{1} [F(x+dx) - F(x)][1 - F(x) + dx]^{n-i}$$
$$= \binom{n}{i-1} (n-i+1) [F(x)]^{i-1} f(x) dx [1 - F(x+dx)]^{n-i}$$

since dx is small. This means

$$\frac{P(x \le X_{(i)} \le x + dx)}{dx} = \binom{n}{i-1}(n-i+1)[F(x)]^{i-1}f(x)[1-F(x+dx)]^{n-i}$$

Let $dx \to 0$. Then

$$f_{X_{(i)}}(x) = \binom{n}{n-1}(n-i+1)[F(x)]^{i-1}f(x)[1-F(x)]^{n-i}$$

5 Basic Limit Theorems

5.1 Convergence in Probability

Definition. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a sequence of random variables. $X_i \to X$ in probability if

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} P(|X_i - X| > \varepsilon) = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proposition. Suppose X_n is a sequence of random variables.

- 1. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, then $cX_n \xrightarrow{p} cX$ for constant c.
- 2. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$, then $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{p} X + Y$
- 3. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$, then $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} XY$
- 4. If $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ where $c \neq 0$ is a constant, then $\frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{X}{c}$

Proof. Of 3. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \to 0$ and $P(|Y_n - Y| > \varepsilon) \to 0$. Note that

$$X_n Y_n - XY = (X_n - X)Y + X(Y_n - Y) = (X_n - X)(Y_n - Y) + Y(X_n - X) + X(Y_n - Y)$$

Thus for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|X_nY_n - XY| > \varepsilon) \le P\left(|(X_n - X)(Y_n - Y)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) + P\left(|Y(X_n - X)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) + P\left(|X(Y_n - Y)| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)$$

Denote the 3 expressions on the RHS as 1, 2, 3 respectively. We claim $1, 2, 3 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For 1, WLOG let $\varepsilon < 1$. Note that if $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon)$, then $P(|X_n - X| > \delta) \to 0$ for all $\delta > \varepsilon$ as $P(|X_n - X| > \delta) \le P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon)$ if $\delta > \varepsilon$. By assumption, $P(|X_n - X| > 1) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $P(|Y_n - Y| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \to 0)$. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists some $N_{\delta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge N_{\delta}$,

$$P(|X_n - X| > 1) \le \frac{\delta}{8}$$
$$P\left(|Y_n - Y| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \le \frac{\delta}{8}$$

which implies $P\left(|X_n - X||Y_n - Y| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \le \frac{\delta}{4}$ if $n \ge N_{\delta}$.

For 2 and 3, we claim that $P(|X| \ge M) \to 0$ if $M \to \infty$. Since for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $|X(\omega)| < M$ if M is large enough given that $X_n \to X$ where $|X| < \infty$, then $I(|X| \ge M) \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$. Then since $0 \le I(|X| \ge M) \le 1$, by DCT, $E(I(|X| \ge M)) \to E(0) = 0$ as $M \to \infty$. So, there exists some $M_{\delta} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(|X| \ge M_{\delta}) \le \frac{\delta}{8}$. By assumption, there exists some N_{δ}^* such that $P(|Y_n - Y| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{M_{\delta}}) \le \frac{\delta}{8}$ for $n > N_{\delta}^*$. Thus,

$$P\left(|X||Y_n - Y| > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{1}{M_{\delta}} M_{\delta}\right) \le \frac{\delta}{4}$$

if $n > N^*$. We apply a similar argument to $|Y||X_n - X|$. So, if n is sufficiently large,

$$P(|X_nY_n - XY| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} < \delta$$

which shows $P(|X_nY_n - XY| > \varepsilon) \to 0$, as required.

Proposition. For some r > 0, if $E(|X_n - X|^r) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.

Proof. We need $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \to 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that

$$P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = P(|X_n - X|^r > \varepsilon^r) \le \frac{E(|X_n - X|^r)}{\varepsilon^r} \to 0$$

by Markov's Inequality.

Corollary. If $E[(X_n - X)^2] \to 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.

5.1.1 Weak Law of Large Numbers

If X_1, X_2, \ldots is a sequence of random variables with $E(X_i) = \mu_i$, $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 > 0$ and $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\xrightarrow{p}\mu$$

Proof. Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then

$$E[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] = E\left[\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)\right)^2\right]$$

Let $Y_i = X_i - \mu$, so $E(Y_i) = 0$, $E(Y_i^2) = \sigma^2$, and $Cov(Y_i, Y_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Then

$$E[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] = \frac{1}{n^2} E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} E(Y_iY_j)\right)$$
$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \to 0$$

as required.

Theorem. If X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent with cdf F and the empirical cdf is

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \le x)$$

then $F_n(x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$ for all x.

Proof. Note that for all x, we have

$$E[I(X_i \le x)] = P(X_i \le x) = F(x)$$

Since each X_i is independent, then so is each $I(X_i \leq x)$, thus $Cov[I(X_i \leq x), I(X_j \leq x)] = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. By the WLLN, we have

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \le x) \xrightarrow{p} F(x)$$

as required.

5.2 Convergence in Distribution

Definition. A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots converges in distribution to X if

$$E[H(X_n)] \to E[H(X)]$$

for any bounded and continuous H.

- Notice how this definition does not require X_n to be close to X
- \xrightarrow{d} does not imply \xrightarrow{p}

Theorem. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ iff $P(X_n \leq x) \to P(X_n \leq x)$ at any point x at which the cdf of X is continuous.

Theorem. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ if $M_{X_n}(t) \to M_X(t)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all t in a neighbourhood of 0.

5.2.1 Normal Random Variables

Definition. X is a normal random variable with mean μ and variance σ^2 if it has density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

• The MGF of $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ is $\exp(\frac{t^2}{2})$

5.2.2 Central Limit Theorem

Let X_1, \ldots be iid with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $Y_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(X_n - \mu)}{\sigma}$ where $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Theorem. \xrightarrow{p} implies \xrightarrow{d} .